BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 498 of 2015 (M.A. No. 628/2016)

IN THE MATTER OF:

Pushp Saini Vs. Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAGHUVENDRA S. RATHORE, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant:

Respondent No. 1: Respondent Nos. 15&26:

Respondent No. 19:

Respondent No. 22:

Respondent No. 37:

Ms. Shibani Ghosh, Adv. for Intervenor Mr. Divya Prakash Pande, Adv. Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv. Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker and Ms. Anu K. Joy, Advs. for State of Kerala Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv. For Nagaland SPCB and Mr. Amith J, Adv., Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, AAG, Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, Adv., and Mr. Adhiraj Singh, Adv. for State of Rajasthan Respondent Nos. 28&29: Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv., Ms. Smriti Shah, Advs. for

APPCB & TSPCB
Mr. G.M. Kawoosa, Adv. and Ms. Palak
Mittal, Adv. for State of J&K

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Mr. Pramod Kumar and Mr. Gautam Prakhakar, Advs. for State of AP

Mr. Devraj Ashok, Adv. for State of Karnataka

Mr. A.K. Panda and Mr. M. Paikaray, Advs. for SPCB, Odisha

Mr. Atul Jha, Adv. For State of Chhattisgarh

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee and Ms. Aprajita Mukherjee, Advs. For State of Meghalaya Mr. Raja Chatterjee and Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguly, Advs., Mr. Piyush Sachdev, Adv. for State of WB

Sachdev, Adv. for State of WB
Mr. Aruna Mathura, Mr. Avneesh
Arputham, Ms. Simran Jeet and Ms.
Anuradha Arputham, Advs. For State of
Sikkim

Mr. Edward Belho, Mr. K. Luikang Michael and Mr. Hoineithiam, Advs. for State of Nagaland

Ms. Yogmaya Agnihotra, Adv. for CECB Ms. Priyanka Sinha, Adv. for State of Jharkhand

Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Mr. Rituraj Biswas and Ms. Sujaya Bardhan, Advs. for State of Arunachal Pradesh

Mr. Tayenjam Momo Singh, Adv. for Meghalaya SPCB

Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei and Mr. Naresh Kumar Gaur, Advs. for MPCB

Mr. Gopal Singh, Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Advs. for State of Tripura

Mr. Dhruv Pal and Mr. Himanshu Pal, Advs. for State of Gujarat & GSPCB

Mr. Ajay Marwah, Adv. for HPSPCB

Mr. Gautam Singh, Adv. for Mr. Shoeab Alam, Adv. for State of Bihar

Mr. R. Rakesh Sharma, Adv. For State of Tamil Nadu & TN Pollution Control Board Mr. Rajul Shrivastav, Adv. for MPPCB

Mr. V.K. Shukla, Adv. and Ms. Vijay Laxmi, Adv. for State of MP

Mr. Amrit Agarwal and Ms. Asha N. Basu, Advs. for WBPCB

Mr. Ravin Dubey, Adv.

Mr. Utkarsh Sharma, Adv. for State of UP Mr. Gaurav M. Liberhan, AAG, State of Punjab

Mr. Jogy Scaria, Adv., Mr. Reegan S. Bal and Ms. Beena Victor, Advs. for Kerala State Pollution Control Board

Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv. and Mr. Sayooj Mohandas M., Adv. for State of Assam and ASPCB

Mr. Rajkumar, Adv. for Central Pollution Control Board

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG, Mr. Rahul Khurana, Mr. Sandeep Yadav and Mr. Mishal Vij, Advs. for HSPCB

Mr. Naginder Benipal, Adv. for PPCB Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv. and Mr. Vinayak

Gupta, Adv.

| | Date and | Orders of the Tribunal |
|------------|------------|---|
| | | Orders of the Tribunal |
| | Remarks | |
| | Item No. | |
| | | |
| | 21 | The Learned Council appearing for Ministry of |
| | | The Learned Counsel appearing for Ministry of |
| | August 09, | |
| | 2017 | Environment, Forest and Climate Change submits that |
| | | |
| | sn | the Ministry has already completed river basin study of 6 |
| | 100 | |
| • | | river basins i.e. Siang River Basin, Twang River Basin, |
| | 16 | |
| | 115 | Bichom River Basin, Subansiri River Basin, Dibang River |
| 100 | 70 M | |
| A . | 4 | Basin and Lohit River Basin and upon study the Ministry |
| | | |
| | | has recommended the minimum flow of the river to be |
| | A === | |
| | | 18% of the average of lean season flow of the river. |
| 100 | | 1070 of late average of real season in the late in the |
| | 1 7 | However, in some of the cases, it has stated to be even |
| | A 7 | However, in some of the cases, it has stated to be even |
| | 1/1/2 | 20%. |
| | 4 | 2070. |
| , | | PA |
| | | The Tribunal in the recent Judgment pronounced on |
| | -3 | |
| | | river Ganga had directed 20% minimum environment flow |
| | | 11.01 danga <u>11</u> .00 dan 0000 |
| | | to be maintained from Haridwar onwards on the basis of |
| | | to so maintained from marker off the sasis of |
| | | the average lean season flow. In light of the above and the |
| | | the average leaf beason now. In fight of the above and the |
| | | clear stand being taken by the Ministry, we direct that all |
| | | clear stand being taken by the winistry, we direct that an |
| | | the rivers in the Country shall maintain minimum 15 % to |
| | | the rivers in the country shan maintain imminum 10 70 to |
| | | 20% of the average lean season flow of that river. However, |
| | | 20% of the average real season now of that fiver. However, |
| | | which over State is unable to adhere to this everege |
| | | whichever State is unable to adhere to this average |
| | | noncontago in that event we amont liberty to that Ct-t- |
| | | percentage, in that event we grant liberty to that State |
| | | Correment to mark the Correction Military |
| | | Government to move the Secretary, Ministry of |
| | | En income A Property 1 Off to Classical 1 11 11 1 |
| | | Environment, Forest and Climate Change who shall in |
| | | |

Item No. 21 August 09, 2017 sn consultation with the Ministry of Water Resources examine such a representation and if it is desirable to fix any lower percentage than the percentage aforestated, then it will pass appropriate order. The order should be reasoned and thereafter it would be left to the discretion of the State concerned to follow the directions of the Ministry in accordance with law.

We also grant liberty to the Applicant to move the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change if it has material with them in respect of any river of the country, which should have minimum environment flow in excess of 20%. If such representation is moved the same shall be disposed of by the Committee headed by Secretary in the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change in accordance with law.

With the above direction, Original Application No. 498 of 2015 stands disposed of without any order as to cost.

M.A. No. 628 of 2016

This Application does not survive for consideration as the main Application itself stands disposed of.

Thus, M.A. No. 628 of 2016 stands disposed of accordingly.

| ,CP (Swatanter Kumar) |
|---------------------------------|
| ,JM (Raghuvendra S. Rathore) |
| ,EM (Bikram Singh Sajwan) |